

Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 STATE 295246

62
ORIGIN NODS-00

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /001 R

66011
DRAFTED BY:AF:TWSEELYE
APPROVED BY:AF:TWSEELYE
S/S-MR.SEBASTIAN

----- 122606

O 032210Z DEC 76 ZFF4
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO AMEMBASSY LONDON IMMEDIATE

S E C R E T STATE 295246

NODIS
FOR SEITZ ONLY

FOLLOWING REPEAT SECTO 31053 SENT ACTION GENEVA INFO
SECSTATE DEC 02.

QUOTE: S E C R E T SECTO 31053

NODIS

DEPT FOR THE DEPUTY SECRETARY; GENEVA FOR REINHARDT

EO 11652: GDS
TAGS: PFOR RH UK OVIP (KISSINGER, HENRY A.)
SUBJECT: RHODESIA CONFERENCE: TALING POINTS FOR CHONA

REF GENEVA 9566 (STATE 292921/TOSEC 310378)

1. THE SECRETARY HAS CLEARED THE TALKING POINTS BELOW FOR
REINHARDT'S USE WITH OBSERVERS, INCLUDING SPECIFICALLY
MARK CHONA. BEGIN TALKING POINTS.

I HAVE BEEN IN TOUCH WITH WASHINGTON SINCE YOUR MEETINGS
WITH FRANK ON MONDAY AND TUESDAY, AND THE POINTS I AM ABOUT TO
MAKE REFLECT WASHINGTON'S VIEWS AS WELL AS MY OWN.

IT IS IMPORTANT TO REMEMBER WHAT THE EXERCISE WE ARE
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 295246

ENGAGED IN HERE IS ALL ABOUT. WE ARE HERE TO TRY TO REACH A

SETTLEMENT THAT TRANSFERS POWER IN RHODESIA FROM THE HANDS OF WHITES WHO NOW HOLD IT TO AFRICANS WHO RIGHTFULLY DESERVE IT.

WE ARE HERE TO GET A SOLUTION THAT OFFERS THE BEST ASSURANCE OF A SMOOTH TRANSITION TO A MODERATE AND RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT, ONE THAT CAN BRING STABILITY AND PROSPERITY TO ZIMBABWE, AND ONE THAT WOULD NOT POSE A THREAT TO THE STABILITY OF ITS NEIGHBORS, MOST NOTABLY ZAMBIA.

WE ARE DISTURBED BY WHAT WE PERCEIVE TO BE A GROWING SENSE OF UNREALITY HERE IN GENEVA, NOT ONLY AMONG SOME OF THE NATIONALIST DELEGATIONS, BUT ALSO AMONG THE OBSERVERS.

SOMEHOW, THERE APPEARS AN INCREASING TENDENCY TO IGNORE SOME OF THE FUNDAMENTAL REALITIES OF THE RHODESIAN SITUATION:

THE FIRST OF THESE REALITIES IS THAT IAN SMITH AND THE RHODESIA FRONT HOLD DE FACTO POWER IN RHODESIA, NOT THE SOUTH AFRICANS, NOT THE BRITISH, AND CERTAINLY NOT THE UNITED STATES. NO MATTER HOW MUCH WE MAY DISLIKE THAT SITUATION, IT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT CAN BE WISHED AWAY.

SECOND, THERE ARE LIMITATIONS ON THE DEGREE OF INFLUENCE THAT EXTERNAL POWERS CAN EFFECTIVELY EXERCISE, ESPECIALLY FOR A SETTLEMENT THAT DOES NOT INSURE MINORITY RIGHTS.

THE THIRD POINT CONCERNS THOSE UNDERSTANDINGS WHICH PERSUADED SMITH TO ACCEPT, FOR THE FIRST TIME IN HIS 12 YEARS IN POWER, THE PRINCIPLE OF MAJORITY RULE AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THAT PRINCIPLE WITHIN A FIXED TIME FRAME. WHATEVER ONE CHOOSES TO SAY ABOUT THE FIVE POINTS OF SMITH'S SEPT. 24 SPEECH, AND PARTICULARLY POINT 3, THERE CAN BE NO DISAGREEMENT OVER THE FACT THAT THEY WERE UNDERSTOOD TO FORM THE BASIS FOR NEGOTIATIONS. THEY CAN BE ALTERED IN THE COURSE OF A NEGOTIATION BUT THEY CAN NOT SIMPLY BE DISCARDED IN TOTO. NOR CAN THERE BE ANY ARGUMENT OVER THE FACT THAT THE CONCEPT THAT UNDERLIES THE FIVE POINTS AND THAT MADE POSSIBLE SMITH'S ACCEPTANCE OF THEM -- AS WELL AS THAT OF HIS PARTY -- IS THE CONCEPT THAT RHODESIAN WHITES WOULD BE ASSURED OF A MEANINGFUL VOICE AND ROLE IN THE POLITICAL DECISIONS IN THE TRANSITION PERIOD THAT WILL AFFECT THEIR FUTURE IN ZIMBABWE. MOREOVER, THE FIVE POINTS INCONCEPT WERE DISCUSSED REPEATEDLY WITH THE FRONTLINE PRESIDENTS BEFORE THEY WERE PUT FORWARD.

WE HAVE HEARD THE VIEW EXPRESSED BY SEVERAL PERSONS HERE IN GENEVA THAT SMITH AND THE RHODESIA FRONT MAY NOW BE READY TO ABANDON THE CONCEPT OF SHARED POWER IN THE INTERIM GOVERNMENT

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 295246

IN EXCHANGE FOR VAGUE AND AMBIGUOUS PROMISES OR UNDERSTANDINGS. WE HAVE ALSO HEARD IT SAID THAT THE UNITED STATES CAN DELIVER SMITH UPON DEMAND AND GET HIM TO ACCEPT ANY ALTERNATIVE SET OF PROPOSALS THAT MIGHT BE PUT FORWARD AT THE CONFERENCE. WITH REGARD TO THE FIRST POINT, NOTHING SMITH HAS SAID OR IMPLIED SINCE THE OPENING OF THE CONFERENCE OFFERS ANY SUGGESTION THAT HE IS PREPARED TO ABANDON THE CONCEPT THAT RHODESIA WHITES MUST HAVE EFFECTIVE AND CLEARLY DEFINED POWERS WITHIN THE INTERIM

GOVERNMENT. WITH REGARD TO THE SECOND, ANYONE WHO THINKS THAT WE CAN PERSUADE OR COERCE SMITH INTO ACCEPTING A SETTLEMENT ON TERMS THAT DO NOT EVEN TAKE ACCOUNT OF THE FIVE POINTS FAILS TO UNDERSTAND THE SITUATION.

OUR ASSESSMENT IS THAT SMITH AND THE RHODESIANS ARE FULLY PREPARED TO FIGHT ON AND TO RESIST ALL FORMS OF PRESSURE IF THEY DO NOT GET THE KIND OF SETTLEMENT HERE THAT THEY FEEL MEETS THEIR MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS. WE ARE ESPECIALLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE VERY EVIDENT EROSION OF SUPPORT IN SOUTH AFRICA FOR A SETTLEMENT, WHICH COULD TIE VORSEY'S HANDS AND PREVENT HIM FROM CONTINUING HIS POSITIVE CONTRIBUTIONS NOT ONLY WITH RESPECT TO ZIMBABWE BUT ALSO NAMIBIA.

IF THERE IS NO SETTLEMENT HERE, IT IS NOT THE UNITED STATES THAT WILL SUFFER MOST DIRECTLY FROM THE CONSEQUENCES OF THAT FAILURE. THOSE WHO WILL SUFFER MOST WILL BE THE ZIMBABWEANS, WHO FACE THE PROSPECT OF HAVING A WHOLE GENERATION OF YOUTH CHEWED UP IN NEEDLESS BLOODSHED, AND WHOSE ONLY REWARD WILL BE A COUNTRY RAVAGED BY WAR AND DESTRUCTION.

RHODESIA'S NEIGHBORS, ZAMBIA IN PARTICULAR, WOULD PAY A HEAVY PRICE IF THE WAR IS ALLOWED TO DRAG ON, AND NOT MERELY IN ECONOMIC TERMS. ZAMBIA ALREADY KNOWS WHAT IT MEANS TO HAVE FOREIGN ARMIES LIVING IN ARMED CAMPS ON ITS SOIL AND HOW DIFFICULT IT CAN BE TO CONTROL THEM. THE LEBANESE EXPERIENCE STANDS AS AN EXAMPLE TO ALL OF HOW DISRUPTIVE A FORCE THESE ARMIES CAN BECOME.

YOU MUST ALSO UNDERSTAND THAT IF CONTINUING VIOLENCE LEADS TO FOREIGN INTERVENTION, THE UNITED STATES CANNOT IGNORE IT.

WE CAN UNDERSTAND YOUR CONCERN OF ZIPA AND YOUR DESIRE NOT TO PROVIDE THOSE WHO WISH TO SEE THE CONFERENCE FAIL WITH THE EXCUSE THEY ARE LOOKING FOR. BUT WE FAIL TO COMPREHEND HOW ZAMBIA'S INTERESTS, OR ZIMBABWE'S EITHER, WOULD BE SERVED BY A SOLUTION THAT MERELY PLACES POWER IN THE HANDS OF THE MILITANTS WITHOUT IMPOSING ANY DISCIPLINE OR RESTRAINT ON THE

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 04 STATE 295246

EXERCISE OF THAT POWER.

NOR DO WE UNDERSTAND THE ARGUMENT FOR GIVING IN TO ZIPA'S DEMAND FOR INSTANT MAJORITY RULE, WHICH SEEMS TO US TO BE CONTRARY TO ZAMBIA'S OWN INTERESTS, AND WHICH WILL ALSO DESTROY ANY CHANCE OF GETTING A SETTLEMENT.

TRANSITION MEANS JUST THAT, NOT AN INSTANTANEOUS AND TOTAL REVERSAL OF EXISTING POWER RELATIONSHIPS BUT AN IRREVERSIBLE MOVEMENT TOWARDS IT IN A LIMITED SPAN OF TIME. OBVIOUSLY SMITH WILL HAVE MORE POWER AT THE BEGINNING OF THE TRANSITION THAN AT THE END.

THE UNITED STATES IS COMMITTED TO A SOLUTION THAT RESULTS IN THE EFFECTIVE TRANSFER TO THE AFRICAN MAJORITY BY THE END OF THAT ALREADY AGREED INTERIM PERIOD. FOR A SOLUTION TO BE ACCEPTABLE TO US, IT MUST ALSO BE A SOLUTION THAT CAN BE SUPPORTED BY THE

FRONT LINE PRESIDENTS AND SOLD TO THE OAU. IN OTHER WORDS, ZIMBABWE'S VICTORY IS ASSURED, AND ANY TALK ABOUT A SECOND UDI IS BASELESS AND ABSURD. WE WILL OPPOSE ANY SUCH MOVE SHARPLY AND CAN COUNT ON SOUTH AFRICAN SUPPORT IN THIS REGARD.

HOWEVER FLAWED THE INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS OUTLINED IN THE FIVE POINTS MAY APPEAR, WE MUST REMEMBER THAT THEY WERE THE BASIS ON WHICH SMITH WAS HOOKED INTO THE PROCESS OF SURRENDERING HIS OWN POSITION AND POWER.

THE NAME OF THE GAME IS TO KEEP HIM HOOKED AND NOT MAKE IT POSSIBLE FOR HIM TO WIGGLE OFF.

THERE IS A LOT THE BRITISH CAN DO TO MAKE THE STRUCTURE OF THE INTERIM GOVERNMENT FUNCTION MORE SMOOTHLY AND EFFECTIVELY. WE BELIEVE THEY ARE PREPARED TO PLAY A ROLE, AND WE ARE PREPARED TO PUSH THEM IN THAT DIRECTION. BUT WE CANNOT EXPECT THE BRITISH TO ASSUME RESPONSIBILITIES IN RHODESIA THAT THEY HAVE NEVER HAD AND FOR WHICH THEY LACK THE AUTHORITY TO BACK THEM UP. THEY ARE WILLING TO BRIDGE THE GAP, BUT YOU MUST BE VERY PRECISE AND VERY REALISTIC ABOUT WHAT YOU EXPECT OF THEM. THEY CAN PROVIDE THE ADDITIONAL MARGIN OF ASSURANCE FOR BOTH SIDES; BUT IN THE FINAL ANALYSIS, THE BRITISH ROLE CANNOT TAKE THE PLACE OF A BASIC AGREEMENT AMONG THE PARTIES THEMSELVES WHICH DEFINES IN CLEAR AND UNAMBIGUOUS TERMS THE POWERS THAT EACH WILL EXERCISE IN THE INTERIM PERIOD.

THROUGHOUT THE COURSE OF OUR COMMON EFFORT TO FIND A SETTLEMENT, NO NATION HAS HAD CLEARER VISION THAN ZAMBIA. NO NATION HAS A GREATER STAKE IN A SUCCESSFUL OUTCOME, ONE THAT
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 05 STATE 295246

BRINGS A MODERATE, PRAGMATIC AND RESPONSIBLE GOVERNMENT TO ZIMBABWE. WE BELIEVE THAT OTHER NATIONS WILL RECOGNIZE AND ACCEPT THE FACT THAT ZAMBIA FACES GREATER RISKS THAN ANYONE ELSE IF OUR EFFORTS FAIL; AND AS A RESULT, WE BELIEVE THAT YOUR WORD WILL BE HEEDDED AND ACCEPTED BY THE OTHER FRONT LINE PRESIDENTS AND BY AFRICAN LEADERS GENERALLY. IT IS UP TO ZAMBIA, BEING CLOSEST TO THE PROBLEM, TO REMIND THE OTHERS OF HOW DANGEROUS THE SITUATION CAN BECOME IF A SETTLEMENT BASED ON COMPROMISE IS NOT ACHIEVED.

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT THE PATRIOTIC FRONT RETHINK ITS POSITION AND NEGOTIATE PROPOSALS WHICH PROVIDE FOR POWER SHARING WITH RHODESIAN EUROPEANS DURING THE PERIOD OF TRANSITION.

THE FRAMEWORK WHICH THE PATRIOTIC FRONT APPEARS TO BE ADVANCING DOES NOT MEET THIS CRITERIA AND CANNOT, THEREFORE, ENJOY OUR SUPPORT. END TALKING POINTS. KISSINGER
UNQUOTE KISSINGER

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: POLITICAL SETTLEMENT, INDEPENDENCE, INTERIM GOVERNMENT, CAT-B, NEGOTIATIONS, FOREIGN POLICY POSITION
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 03 DEC 1976
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: saccheem
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976STATE295246
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: AF:TWSEELYE
Enclosure: FOR SEITZ ONLY
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: N760009-0042
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 2
Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19761273/aaaacImv.tel
Line Count: 217
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN NODS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: NODIS, ONLY
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: NODIS, ONLY
Reference: 76 GENEVA 9566
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: saccheem
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 14 MAY 2004
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <14 MAY 2004 by woolflhd>; APPROVED <07 SEP 2004 by saccheem>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
04 MAY 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: LOCK1
Status: NATIVE
Subject: RHODESIA CONFERENCE: TALING POINTS FOR CHONA
TAGS: PFOR, OVIP, PDEV, RH, UK, US, (KISSINGER, HENRY A), (CHONA, MARK)
To: LONDON
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006